NYCIDA PROJECT COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS June 5, 2014

<u>APPLICANT</u>

The Handy Tool & Mfg. Co., Inc. 39-09 58th Street

Woodside, NY 11377

PROJECT LOCATION

1205 Rockaway Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11236

A. Project Description:

The Handy Tool & Mfg. Co., Inc. (the "Company") is a machine shop specializing in the fabrication of aircraft and related industry parts. The Company currently operates in two buildings totaling nearly 10,000 square feet, and a to-be-formed real estate holding entity, on behalf of the Company, seeks to acquire and equip a 13,300 square foot building in order to consolidate and expand operations (the "Project").

Total Project costs are estimated to be \$2,525,000, with \$2,275,000 for acquisition, \$175,000 for machinery and equipment, and the remainder for fees.

The Company currently employs 28 full-time equivalent employees, and within three years expects to add two additional full-time employees, for a total of 30 full-time equivalent employees.

| B. Costs to City (New York City taxes to be exempted): | |
|--|-----------|
| Mortgage Recording Tax Benefit: | \$29,575 |
| Land Tax Abatement (NPV, 25 years): | 233,301 |
| Building Tax Exemption (NPV, 25 years): | 526,398 |
| Sales Tax Exemption: | 7,875 |
| Total Cost to NYC | \$797,149 |

| C. Benefit to City (Estimated NYC direct and indirect taxes to | |
|--|-------------|
| be generated by Company) (estimated NPV 25 years @ | \$5,032,580 |
| 6.25%): | |





BENEFITS APPLICATION

| Applicant Name: THE HANDY TOOL & MFG. CO., INC | C |
|---|--|
| Name of operating company (if different from Applicant): | |
| Operating Company Address: 39 – 09 58 Street, Woodside, NY 1 | 1377 – 0099 |
| Website Address: NONE | |
| EIN #: | NAICS Code: 332700 |
| State and date of incorporation or formation: 1958 New York | Qualified to conduct business in NY? 🗷 Yes 🔲 No |
| Applicant is (check one of the following, as applicable): | |
| ☐ General Partnership ☐ Limited Partnership | ☐ C Corporation 🔀 S Corporation |
| ☐ Limited Liability Company ☐ Natural Person | 501(c)(3) Organization Other: |
| Are any securities of Applicant publicly traded? Yes No | |
| Applicable Financial Assistance (check all that a | |
| Applicable Financial Assistance (check all that a | apply) ncial Assistance, the Project Financial Assistance may be limited to deferra |
| Applicable Financial Assistance (check all that a Please note the following: When Build NYC is the entity providing Financingage recording taxes and tax-exempt conduit bond financing. | |
| Applicable Financial Assistance (check all that a Please note the following: When Build NYC is the entity providing Financingage recording taxes and tax-exempt conduit bond financing. Bond Financing | |

Applicant Contact Information

| | Name/Title | Company | Address | Email | Phone |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--|-------|-------|
| Applicant Contact Person | Kenneth Sherman | Handy Tool | 39 – 09 58 Street Woodside, NY 11377 | | |
| Attorney | Richard Kaplowitz | Kaplowitz & Kaplowitz | 145 Huguenot St., new Rochelle, New York | | |
| Accountant | Stew Robertson | KBL, LLP | 110 Wall St, NYC | | |
| Consultant/Other | ROB MOREL | CITY ONE ASSOCIATES INC | 2440 Broadway, Suite 245, NYC | | |

The Handy Tool & MFg. Co., Inc.

SUMMARRY

The Handy Tool & Mfg. Co., Inc. is a machine shop specializing in the manufacture of aircraft parts primarily for the Richmond, Virginia based, Defense Logistic Agency. The company employs 27 full-time workers and 2 part-time workers in 2 non-adjacent buildings in Woodside, Queens totaling approximately 10,000 sq. ft. The company plans to expand and acquire a 13,300 square foot building located in an IBZ zone at 1205 Rockaway Ave., Brooklyn, NY 11236 with the help of the New York City IDA. The new building will cost \$2,275,000 and will require \$175,000 of renovations. The larger building will enable the company to create a minimum of 2 new jobs in the foreseeable future.

COMPANY HISTORY

Handy Tool was established in 1948 by the current owner's father and mother as a machine shop and stamping company located in a loft on Mott Street, Manhattan serving a variety of industries. In 1958 the enterprise incorporated and began to specialize in prime (direct) government contracts-- mostly fabricating gun parts. In 1968 the company relocated to Woodside, Queens to its current location where it continued to grow and expand. In 1970 management started to dabble in aircraft parts, never contemplating that by the year 2000 that segment of its business would comprise over 95% of its sales.

In 1982, the current 100% owner, Mr. Kenneth Sherman joined his parents' business, bringing it to a whole new level of success. One significant purchase in 1983, a computer controlled milling machine, brought the company to a new level of efficiency and flexible manufacturing. At this point the company had acquired a 2nd building down the block and employed 10 persons.

COMPANY OPERATIONS

The company gets most of all of its business through contracts with the US government that is procured in a bid process. Handy tool is made aware of the potential contracts through the Internet and various websites. The company makes bids through the Internet to government offices located all over the country. Currently the company is working on or has been awarded approximately 350 contracts. Of that number 320 of those are from one centralized location; the Defense Logistic Agency located in Richmond, Virginia. The company gets no business from New York City except an occasional requirement from a local manufacturer who needs a particular, unique item fabricated.

After the company's bids have been accepted, Handy Tool starts to contact suppliers located all over the country for different parts including various metals, plastics, etc. and then the production begins. The item is built to the plans and specifications of their client's request and the item is milled, cut, molded, polished, threaded, soldered, assembled etc. and then tested, etc. and then the finished product is shipped often by common carrier all over the country.

Handy Tool operates in 2 buildings in Woodside: 5000 square feet of incredibly jammed production space and offices at 39 – 09 58 Street, and another 5000 square feet, down the block, at 37 – 19 58th street, which is mostly warehouse used for storage of raw materials and partially completed projects and inventory. It is very inefficient to be operating under 2 separate locations and the purpose of the project is to get all these activities under one roof, to expand, and upgrade the efficiency of the production capacity of the business.

THE PROJECT

The company hopes to acquire an approximately 14,000 sq. ft., one-story industrial building, with the assistance of the New York City IDA. This building will enable the company to expand its operations and continue to be one of the only remaining aircraft parts manufacturer in the City of New York. Long Island was one of the birthplaces of the aircraft industry and in its heyday, there were countless machine shops in New York City servicing the aircraft industry; today, Handy Tool is the one of the few remaining aircraft parts manufacturers left in New York City.

Chase Manhattan Bank will finance 80% of the purchase price with \$1,820,000 mortgage. The company will come up with the rest of the money as equity. The bank may provide a term loan for renovations.

The project will create at a minimum 2 new employees in the next several years. This is an extremely conservative estimate. Currently the company employs 27 full-time workers, including the principles, and 2 part-time workers.

RESUME

Kenneth Sherman was born in New York City but his family moved to Long Island shortly after his birth. He attended Columbia University and received a BS in Mechanical Engineering and a BA in English literature. Immediately after college, he worked at a publishing house for two years before he joined Handy Tool. Kenneth is involved with sales, production, quality control, and administration.

Rochelle Sherman, the company's CFO, was born in Yonkers and had a previous career as a Clinical Neuropsychologist. She obtained a Ph D from City University, of New York. Rochelle is involved with accounting, administration, HR, and all financial matters of the company.

Core IDA application

Question 1:

The Handy Tool & MFg Co. Inc. is a machine shop specializing in the manufacture of aircraft parts primarily for the Richmond, Virginia based, Defense Logistic Agency. The company employees 27 full-time workers and 2 part-time workers in 2 non-adjacent buildings in Woodside, Queens totaling approximately 10,000 sq. ft. The company plans to expand and acquire a 13,300 square foot building located in an IBZ zone at 1205 Rockaway Ave., Brooklyn, NY 11236 with the help of the New York City IDA . The new building will cost \$2,275,000 and will require \$175,000 of renovation. The larger and building will enable the company to create a minimum of 2 new jobs in the foreseeable future.

Question 2:

The proposed project will allow the company to expand and operate more efficiently under one consolidated location. There will be room for additional machinery and provide for better workflow, loading and receiving. The company is currently turning away business and the larger building will enable company to expand into new markets and industries.

Question 3:

The company will be spending approximately \$175,000 building new offices and bathrooms, upgrading the plumbing for their compressors, upgrading the electrical system for their extensive machine shop equipment. The renovations should take 3 months after the permits are secured.

Question 4:

The company anticipates a closing in late July, 3 or 4 months of renovations, and assuming no significant delays, a relocation to the new facility in February 2015.

Background

Please provide a brief description of the Applicant's history and nature of its business, including a description of the industry, competitors and services offered, on a separate sheet.

Proposed Project Activities

Please provide answers to the following four questions on a separate page.

- 1. Please provide a brief overview of the entire proposed Project. If necessary, break down by tax lot to describe activities at each Project Location.
- 2. Please provide a brief description of how the proposed Project will affect current operations.
- 3. Please provide a brief description of renovations/construction of the proposed Project.
- 4. Please provide a brief timeline for the entire proposed Project.

Project Financing

Amounts provided should be aggregates for all Project Locations.

| A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR | Sources of Funds (If needed use an additional sheet to indicate all sources and uses) | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|--|
| Uses of Funds | Bond Proceeds | Commercial Financing (Loan 1) | Commercial Financing (Loan 2) | Affiliate/ Employee Loans | Capital Campaign | Company Funds | Other (Identify): | Total Uses | |
| Land & Building Acquisition | | 1,820,000 | | | | 455,000 | | 2,275,000 | |
| Construction Hard Costs | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction Soft Costs | | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed Tenant Improvements | | | | | | | | | |
| Furnishings & Equipment | | | 175,000 Term loan | | | | | 75,000 | |
| Debt Service Reserve Fund | | | | | | | | | |
| Capitalized Interest | | | | | | | | | |
| Costs of Issuance | | | | | | | | | |
| Fees (closing fees | | | | | | 75,000 | | 75,000 | |
| Other (explain) | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Sources | | 1,820,000 | 175,000 | | | 530,000 | | 2,525,000 | |

Operating Pro Forma (for NYCIDA applicants only)

Please provide an operating pro forma or other financial analysis demonstrating how NYCIDA assistance is needed in order to make the Project feasible.

Sourcing

Please list where machinery, equipment and furnishings will be purchased and what percentage of total machinery, equipment, and furnishings relating to the Project this will represent:

| ☐ New York City | % of Total? [100] | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| ☐ New York State (excluding NYC) | % of Total? | | | | | |
| ☐ United States (excluding NYS & NYC) | % of Total? | | | | | |
| Outside United States | % of Total? | | | | | |
| ☐ N/A - No equipment is planned to be purchased for this Project | | | | | | |

Core Application – Proposed Project Packet (1of 2) Please complete Proposed Project Packet for EACH Project Location

Project Location Detail

| Project Location | | Project Location | # of | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--------------------|--|--|
| Borough/Block/Lot: 8131 | - 22 | Street address and z | ip code: 1205 Rockaway Ave., Brookly | n, NY 1123 | | |
| Zoning: M1 - | 1 | | | | | |
| Square footage of existing build | ing: 13,680 | Square footage of lar | nd: , 13,680 | | | |
| Anticipated square footage of burenovation: | uilding following construction and/or 13,680 | Anticipated square for construction and/or re | ootage of non-building improvements fol enovation (i.e., parking lot construction): | lowing : 13,680 | | |
| Intended use(s) of site (check all Retail | that apply): Manufacturing/Industrial profit or Retail, please also complete | ☑ Office Energy Questionnaire | ☐ Non-profit | | | |
| Is there any improved space who Will any improved space be occurred to either, please attach as | ich is currently occupied by existing supied by subtenant(s) (whether Affilia | subtenant(s) (whether A ates or otherwise)? ch as (1) name of subte | Affiliates or otherwise)? Yes X P Yes No enant business(es) (whether Affiliates of | | | |
| Anticipated Ownershi 1. Please check all that apply: Applicant or an Affiliate is or | expects to be the fee simple owner o | f the Project Location | (Projected) Acquisition date: Julia | 2014 | | |
| | es or expects to lease the Project Loc | | (Projected) Lease signing date: | 7 2014 | | |
| | lease select one of the following: | | (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | |
| Lease is for an entire buil | • | | | | | |
| ☐ Lease is for a portion of the | | | | | | |
| | ries fully describe Applicant's Intere oplementary document (attached). | est or intended interes | st in the Project Location, which may | be more | | |
| 2. If an Affiliate owns or controls (completing the chart provided b | | tion, then describe such | n Affiliate by choosing one of the followi | ng selection | | |
| ☐ General Partnership | ☐ Limited Partnership | | ☐ C Corporation | | | |
| ☐ S Corporation | Limited Liability Cor | mpany | 501(c)(3) Organization | | | |
| ☐ Natural Person | Other (specify): | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| Name of Affiliate: to be o | determined | EIN # of Affiliate: | | | | |
| Address of Affiliate: | | | | | | |
| Affiliation of Affiliate to Applicant | | | The state of the s | | | |
| | | Title of Contact Person: | | | | |
| Contact Person: | | Title of Contact Pers | son: | | | |

Core Application - Proposed Project Packet (2 of 2) Please complete Proposed Project Packet for EACH Project Location

Employment Information

The following information will be used as part of the Agency's calculation of the benefit of the Project, and as a basis for the comparison with the employment information that the Applicant will be required to report on an annual basis for the term of the Project Agreement.

- Anticipated Facility Operations Start Date: February 2015
- 2. Number of Employees Applicant employed throughout New York City as of the last pay period:

 Part-time (working between 17.5 and 35 hours per week): 2 Full-time (working 35 or more hours per week): 27
- If Applicant currently occupies and operates at the Project Location, how many Full- and Part-time Employees are employed at Project Location?
 Part-time (working between 17.5 and 35 hours per week): N/A Full-time (working 35 or more hours per week): N/A
- 4. Number of Employees Applicant expect to employ throughout New York City on the Facility Operations Start-Date: Part-time (working between 17.5 and 35 hours per week): 2 Full-time (working 35 or more hours per week): 27

How many of these employees are expected to be relocated to the Project Location on or about the Facility Operations Start Date?

Part-time (working between 17.5 and 35 hours per week): 2 Full-time (working 35 or more hours per week): 27

5. Estimated New-growth Employment. Complete the following chart to indicate the number of new employees that are expected to be hired at the Project Location in each year. Note: Year 1 is the year following the Facility Operations Start Date; Year 2 is the second year following that date; Year 3 is the third, etc. Please be sure to include back-up documentation (i.e., historical payroll data) which inform your employment projections. February 2016

| Years following Facility Operations Start Date | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u> | 6 | 7 | Total New Growth |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------|
| Permanent Full-time | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Permanent Part-time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Wage Information

The questions in this section apply only to **Permanent Employees** employed or to be employed at the Project Location, and this information should <u>not include</u> compensation paid to Principals. Please note this information is required to be provided to the Agency on an annual basis.

- If employees are to be relocated on the Facility Operations Start Date, what will be the average annual compensation per relocated employee?
 Part-time: 33,000 Full-time: 58,000
- With regard to the employees currently employed at the Project Location, what is the current average annual compensation <u>per_employee</u>?
 Part-time: 33,000 Full-time: 58,000
- 3. For new employees expected to be hired in the first year following the Facility Operations Start-Date, what is the projected average annual compensation per employee?

 Part-time: 0 Full-time: 50,000
- 4. For all new employees (again, excluding Principals) expected to be hired during the three-year period following the Facility Operations Start Date, please project the following:

Part-Time

Full-Time

Average annual compensation per employee: 0 Annual salary of highest compensated part-time employee: 0 Annual salary of lowest compensated part-time employee: 0 Average annual compensation per employee: 50,000 Annual salary of highest compensated full-time employee: 50,000 Annual salary of lowest compensated full-time employee: 50,000

- Generally describe all other forms of compensation and benefits that Permanent Employees will receive. Examples: healthcare, employercontributions for retirement plans, on-the-job training, reimbursement for educational expenses, etc.
- 6. Healthcare (if employee would like family to be part, Handy Tool partially pays for the premium), retirement plan 401(k), small life insurance policy if employee chooses

Labor

The Applicant and its Affiliates hereinafter will be referred to collectively as the "Companies" or individually as a "Company." If none of the following questions apply to any of these Companies, answer "NO"; but, for any question that does apply, be sure to specify to which of the Companies the answer

| 1. | including actual or the | reatened labor str | ikes, hand billing, consumer boycotts, mass demonstrations or other similar incidents? |
|----|---|---|--|
| | ☐ Yes | № No | If Yes, please explain on an attached sheet |
| 2. | Have any of the Cor the five calendar yea | mpanies received a ars preceding the c | any federal and/or state unfair labor practices complaints asserted during the current calendar year or any current calendar year? |
| | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | If Yes, please describe and explain current status of complaints on an attached sheet |
| 3. | Do any of the Comp calendar year or any | panies have pendi of the five calend | ng or threatened requests for arbitration, grievance proceedings or other labor disputes during the current ar years preceding the current calendar year? |
| | ☐ Yes | ™ No | If Yes, please explain on an attached sheet |
| 4. | Are all employees of | the Companies p | ermitted to work in the United States? |
| | ¥ Yes | □No | If No, please provide details on an attached sheet. |
| | Do the Companies of | complete and retain | n all required documentation related to this inquiry, such as Employment Eligibility Verification (I-9) forms? |
| | X Yes | □ No | If No, please explain on an attached sheet |
| 5. | local, state or federa | l department, ager eir wages, inspec | Labor, the New York State Department of Labor, the New York City Office of the Comptroller or any other ncy or commission having regulatory or oversight responsibility with respect to workers and/or their working ted the premises of any Company or audited the payroll records of any Company during the current or |
| | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | If "Yes," please use an attached sheet to briefly describe the nature and date of the inspection and the inspecting governmental entity. Briefly describe the outcome of the inspection, including any reports that may have been issued and any fines or remedial or other requirements imposed upon any of the Companies as a consequence. |
| 6. | Have any of the Con including a pension p | npanies incurred, o dan? | or potentially incurred, any liability (including withdrawal liability) with respect to an employee benefit plan, |
| | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | If "Yes," please use an attached sheet to quantify the liability and briefly describe its nature. Refer to any governmental entities that have had regulatory contact with the Company in connection with the liability. |
| 7. | Are the practices of any complaints, clai treatment of employer | ms, proceedings of | nies now, or have they been at any time during the current or preceding five calendar years, the subject of or litigation arising from alleged discrimination in the hiring, firing, promoting, compensating or general |
| | ☐ Yes | ▼ No | If "Yes," provide details on an attached sheet. Note "discrimination" includes sexual harassment. |

| F | in | а | n | c | í | a | I | s |
|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

| - | mantonaro | |
|-------------|--|--|
| 1. | Has Applicant, any Affiliate financial assistance or any o | e, or Principal, or any close relative of any Principal, ever received, or is any such person or entity currently receiving, ther kind of non-discretionary benefit from any Public Entities? |
| | ☐ Yes ☐ | No If Yes, please provide details on an attached sheet. |
| 2. | Has Applicant, or any Affili entity in the process of obtain | ate or Principal, or any existing or proposed occupant at the Project Location(s), obtained, or is any such person or hing, or contemplating obtaining, other assistance from the NYCIDA/Build NYC and/or other Public Entities? |
| | ☐ Yes ☐ | No If Yes, please provide details on an attached sheet. |
| 3. | Has Applicant, or any Affili | ate or Principal, ever defaulted on a loan or other obligation to a Public Entity? |
| | ☐ Yes ☐ | No If Yes, please provide details on an attached sheet. |
| 4. | Has real property in which or more, now or ever been assessment or other impos | Applicant, or Affiliate or Principal, holds or has ever held an ownership interest and/or controlling interest of 25 percent (i) the subject of foreclosure (including a deed in lieu of foreclosure), or (ii) in arrears with respect to any type of tax, ition? |
| | ☐ Yes ☐ | No If Yes, please provide details on an attached sheet. |
| 5. | Does Applicant, or any Affiliens, etc.)? Please include | liate or Principal, have any contingent liabilities not already covered above (e.g., judgment liens, lis pendens, other mortgage loans and other loans taken in the ordinary course of business only if in default. |
| | ☐ Yes ☐ | No If Yes, please provide details on an attached sheet. |
| 6. | Has Applicant, or any Affil | ate or Principal, failed to file any required tax returns as and when required with appropriate governmental authorities? |
| | ☐ Yes ☐ | No If Yes, please provide details on an attached sheet. |
| | | and the second s |
| Fol | or questions 7 through 12, beli rovide complete information o | ow, please answer the following questions relating to the Applicant (if the space provided below is insufficient, please n an attached sheet): |
| | | |
| 7. गण्डा | List major customers: | |
| | area. | |
| | Defense Logistics | Richmond |
| A | Agency Virginia | |
| - | | |
| - | | |
| | | |
| 8. | List major suppliers: | |
| | | |
| į | Universal Alloy Corp. la | Mesa Ave., Anaheim, |
| 1 | | est Babylon New York |
| 1 | AAA Stamp and Saal I | addle Brook, New |
| \ \ | | estfield Massachusetts |
| 9. | List major Funding source | s (if applicable): |
| 18 | - | Companies con a contract of the contract of th |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | · | |
| | | |

| 10, | List unions (| lf applicab | le): | | | | | | , | | | |
|-----------|------------------------------|---|---|------------------|---------------------------------------|---|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|----------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 200000 | NΑ | | | | 2424347 | | | | | | 480 487 | |
| | 7.4.1.1 | M) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | *************************************** | | | · | • | | | | | | |
| 11. | List banks: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | į. | Phone | | | | | 116 gg 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | And Number |
| 1 | nase anhattan | New H 11042 | yde Park, NY | | | | | | | | | |
| | · | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| L., | | | · | | | | | | | | | |
| 12, | List licensing | authoritie | s (if applicable |) : | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 76 | | | er trong | | | 1 - | | | |
| 1.10 e) | NA | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | |
| | | ************************************** | *************************************** | DI WAY 1941 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | *************************************** | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | · |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>An</u> | ti-Raidin | 9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Will the cor York City? | npletion of | the Project res | sult in the relo | cation of | f any plant or f | acility locate | d within Ne | w York St | ate, but out | side of New ` | York City, to Nev |
| | If "Yes," | please pro | ovide the name | s of the owne | rs and a | ddresses of th | e to-be-remo | oved plant(s | s) or facilit | y(ies): | | |
| 2. | Will the cor City? | npletion of | | sult in the aba | andonme | ent of any plar | nts or facilitie | es located i | n an area | of New Yo | rk State othe | er than New York |
| | If "Yes," | please pro | ovide the name | s of the owne | rs/opera | tors and the a | ddresses of t | lhe to-be-al | andoned | plant(s) or f | facility(ies): | |
| lf t | he answer to | question | 1 or 2 is "Yes | ," please cor | ntinue a | nd answer qu | estions 3 ar | nd 4. | | | | |
| 3. | Is the Proje | ct reason | ably necessar ∐ No | y to preservé | the con | npetitive positi | on of this A | pplicant, o | r of any p | roposed oc | ocupants of t | he Project, in its |
| 4. | Is the Proje location out | ot reasona side New ' \[Yes | York State? | to discourage | the App | olicant, or any | proposed oc | cupant of the | he Project | , from remo | oving such pla | ant or facility to a |
| | If the answe | to questi | ion 3 or 4 is " | res," please (| provide | on a detailed | explanation | on a sepa | arate she | et of paper. | | |

Certification



the Agency presents Applicant's proposed Project to its Board for approval, the Agency does not guaranty that such approval will be obtained. If upon presenting Applicant's proposed Project to its Board for approval, the Agency does not guaranty that such approval will be obtained. If upon presenting Applicant's proposed Project to its for approval the Agency obtains such approval, such approval shall not constitute a guaranty from the Agency to Applicant that the Project transaction will close.

That preparation of this Application and any other actions taken in connection with the proposed Project shall be entirely at Applicant's sole cost and expense. Under all circumstances, the Application Fee is non-refundable, including but not limited to the circumstance where the Agency decides, in its sole discretion, to not present Applicant's proposed project to the the Agency Board of Directors for Approval.

That each of Applicant and each of its Affiliates (collectively, the "Indemnitors") hereby releases NYCIDA, Build NYC, NYCEDC and their respective directors, officers, employees and agents (collectively, the "Indemnitees") from and against any and all claims that any Indemnitor has or could assert and which arise out of, or are related to, any Application Materials, any actions taken in connection therewith or any other actions taken in connection with the proposed Project (collectively, the "Actions"). Each Indemnitor hereby indemnifies and holds harmless each of the Indemnitees from and against any and all claims and damages brought or asserted by third parties, including reasonable attorneys' fees, arising from or in connection with the Actions. As referred to herein, "third parties" shall include, but shall not be limited to, Affiliates.

That in the event the Agency discloses the Application Materials in response to a request made pursuant to FOIL, Applicant hereby authorizes the Agency to make such disclosure and hereby releases the Agency from any claim or action that Applicant may have or might bring against the Agency, their directors, officers, agents, employees and attorneys, by reason of such disclosure; and that Applicant agrees to defend, indemnify and hold the Agency and the NYCEDC and their respective directors, officers, agents, employees and attorneys harmless (including without limitation for the cost of reasonable attorneys' fees) against claims arising out of such disclosure as such claims may be made by any party including the Applicant, Affiliate, Owner or Principal, or by the officers, directors, employees and agents thereof.

That capitalized terms used but not defined in this Application have the respective meanings specified in the Policies and Instructions.

I acknowledge and agree that the Agency reserves its right in its sole and absolute discretion to request additional information, waive any requirements set forth herein, and/or amend the form of this Application, to the full extent permitted by applicable taw.

Requested, Represented, Certified, Acknowledged, Understood and Agreed by Applicant,

I certify that, using due care, I know of no misstatement of material fact in the Application Materials, and know of no material fact required to be stated in the Application Materials to make the statements made therein not misleading. Certified by Preparer,

| This 1st day of MAY, 20 14 The Haway Tool Mg. CO. I | This May day of lat , 20 lu . |
|---|---|
| Name of Applicant: | Name of Preparer: City ONE ASSOCIATES TOC |
| Signatory: KENNETH SHERMAN | Signatory: Robet Morel |
| Title of Signatory: PRESIDENT | Title of Signatory; |
| Signature: Research Mach | Signature: |

617.20 Appendix B Short Environmental Assessment Form

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

| Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information The Handy Tool Mfg Co inc | | | |
|---|--|-----------|-----|
| Name of Action or Project: Acquiring 1205 Rockaway Ave, Brooklyn | | | |
| Project Location (describe, and attach a location map): 1205 Rockaway Ave, Brooklyn | | | |
| Brief Description of Proposed Action: Relocation, aquisition, expansion and minor renovation of 13,680 sq ft industrial buildir | ng in Brooklyn | | |
| Name of Applicant or Sponsor: The Handy Tool Mfg Co Inc | Telephone: | | |
| Address: 39-09 58th Street | E-Mail: | | |
| City/PO: . Woodside, NY 11377 | State: | Zip Code: | |
| Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, legadministrative rule, or regulation? If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to | the environmental resources th | NO NO | YES |
| 2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval: NYC IDA approval | other governmental Agency? | NO D | YES |
| b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned | s than 1/4 acres s than 1/4 acres s than 1/4 acres | | |
| | ercial Residential (suburb | • | |

| | , | |
|---|--------------|--|
| 5. Is the proposed action, NO | YES | N/A |
| a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations? | MAIN NO. | |
| b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan? | $ \Sigma $ | |
| 6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural | NO | YES |
| landscape? | | LXI. |
| 7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area? | NO | YES |
| If Yes, identify: | | <u> </u> |
| 8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels? | NO | YES |
| | M | |
| b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action? | | X |
| c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed action? | 卤 | |
| 9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements? | NO | YES |
| If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies: | 図 | |
| | | |
| 10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply? | NO | YES |
| TC NY describe and had for modeling actality systems | <u></u> | |
| If No, describe method for providing potable water: | L | |
| 11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities? | NO | YES |
| | | 521 |
| If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment: | | |
| 12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic | NO | YES |
| Places? | X | |
| b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area? | N | |
| 13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain | NO | YES |
| wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency? | M | |
| b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody? | M | |
| If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres: | | |
| | | |
| 14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that | apply: | |
| Shoreline Forest Agricultural/grasslands Early mid-successional | | |
| ☐ Wetland ☑ Urban ☐ Suburban | | T |
| 15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed | NO | YES |
| by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered? | <u>\\</u> | |
| 16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain? | NO | YES |
| | | TVEC. |
| 17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources? | NO | YES |
| a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties? | | |
| b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)? | | |
| If Yes, briefly describe: | | |
| NYC Sewer System | | |
| | 1 | |

| 18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of | N | 0 | YES |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? | | | |
| If Yes, explain purpose and size: | | X | |
| 19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed | N | 0 | YES |
| solid waste management facility? | | | |
| If Yes, describe: | | | |
| | | | |
| 20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoin | ng or N | O | YES |
| completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe: Place See Phase 1 | F | X | |
| If it is, describe. | 4 | Δ | |
| I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO | THE BE | T O | F MV |
| TAFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO KNOWLEDGE | J IME DE | <i>31</i> | , |
| KNOWLEDGE Applicant/sponsor name: Signature: The Haway Tool Mfg. 6 Date: 1-2 | -14 | | |
| Signature: Kittle All | | | |
| | | | |
| questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?" | the concept | "Hav | e my |
| otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by t | No, or small impact | Mo- to | derate large ipact |
| otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by t | No, or small | Mooto | derate large |
| otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by t | No, or small impact may | Mooto | derate large ipact nay |
| otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by tresponses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?" 1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning | No, or small impact may | Mooto | derate large ipact nay |
| otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by tresponses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?" 1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? | No, or small impact may | Mooto | derate large ipact nay |
| otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?" 1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? 2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? | No, or small impact may | Mooto | derate large ipact nay |
| otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?" 1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? 2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? 3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? 4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? 5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or | No, or small impact may | Mooto | derate large ipact nay |
| otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?" 1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? 2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? 3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? 4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? 5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway? 6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate | No, or small impact may | Mooto | derate large ipact nay |
| otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by tresponses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?" 1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? 2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? 3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? 4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? 5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway? 6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities? 7. Will the proposed action impact existing: | No, or small impact may | Mooto | derate large ipact nay |
| otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?" 1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? 2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? 3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? 4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? 5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway? 6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities? 7. Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies? | No, or small impact may | Mooto | derate large ipact nay |
| otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by tresponses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?" 1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? 2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? 3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? 4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? 5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway? 6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities? 7. Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies? b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities? 8. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, | No, or small impact may | Mooto | derate large ipact nay |
| otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?" 1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? 2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? 3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? 4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? 5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway? 6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities? 7. Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies? b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities? | No, or small impact may | Mooto | derate large ipact nay |

| · | | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential problems? | or erosion, flooding or drainage | | | | |
| 11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resor | rces or human health? | | | | |
| Part 3 - Determination of significance. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 3. For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts." | | | | | |
| • | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| r | | | | | |
| Check this box if you have determined, based on the information that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially environmental impact statement is required. Check this box if you have determined, based on the information that the proposed action will not result in any significant adversariance. | large or significant adverse impac | ts and an | | | |
| Name of Lead Agency | Date | | | | |
| Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency | Title of Responsible Offic | er | | | |

PRINT

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)